

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/941,300	08/27/2001	Steve A. Allpress	13152US02	6782
75	90 02/18/2005		EXAMINER	
McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.			PHU, PHUONG M	
34th Floor 500 W. Madisor	n St		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60661			2631	
			DATE MAILED: 02/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/941,300	ALLPRESS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phuong Phu	2631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the period for reply will be period for reply	PN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	7 August 2001.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 27 August 2001 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11)⊠ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a) accepted or b) olthe drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	0 □	Summan (DTO 412)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/941,300 Page 2

Art Unit: 2631

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

1. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

It does not identify the mailing address of each inventor. A mailing address is an address at which an inventor customarily receives his or her mail and may be either a home or business address. The mailing address should include the ZIP Code designation. The mailing address may be provided in an application data sheet or a supplemental oath or declaration. See 37 CFR 1.63(c) and 37 CFR 1.76.

Specification

2. Blanks in section [02] must be filled in with corresponding information.

Drawings

3. Figures 4-9 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686

Application/Control Number: 09/941,300

Art Unit: 2631

F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-14 provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 3-9, 14 and 20-24, respectively, of copending Application No. 09/946,648. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1, 3-9, 14 and 20-24, respectively, of copending Application No. 09/946,648 encompass all the limitations of claims 1-14, respectively, of the instant application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Objections

- 5. Claims 1-14 are objected to because of the following informalities:
- -In claim 1, the limitations "the estimated channel response" (line 5), "the overall complexity" (line 11), "the effective delay spread" (lines 11-12) and "the channel" (line 12) are lack of antecedent basis.
 - -In claim 4, "that" on line 3 is suggested to be removed.
 - -In claim 5, the limitation "the coefficients" (lines 1-2) is lack of antecedent basis.
 - -In claim 6, the limitation "the output" on line 2 is lack of antecedent basis.

Application/Control Number: 09/941,300 Page 4

Art Unit: 2631

-In claim 7, the limitations "the decision" (line 2), "the output" (line 3) and "the output" (line 4) and "the feed-forward filter" (line 4) are lack of antecedent basis.

- -In claim 8, the limitation "the output" on line 1 is lack of antecedent basis.
- -In claim 9, the limitations "the complexity" (line 1), "the associated feedback and feed-forward filters" (lines 4-5), "the estimated channel response" (lines 5-6), "the overall complexity" (line 13), "the effective delay spread" (lines 13-14) and "the channel" (line 14) are lack of antecedent basis.
 - -In claim 12, the limitation "the output" on line 4 is lack of antecedent basis.
- -In claim 13, the limitations "the output" (line 4) and "the output" (line 5) are lack of antecedent basis.
 - -In claim 14, the limitation "the input" on line 3 is lack of antecedent basis.

Claims (if any, depended on above claims) are also objected with the above corresponding reasons.

Appropriate correction is required.

Conclusion

- 6. References (20020131490), (6301315), (6608862), (6535554), (5513215), (5513214), (5031195), (4905254) and (20020131488) are cited because they are pertinent to the claimed apparatus and method.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong Phu whose telephone number is 571-272-3009. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-2:30).

Art Unit: 2631

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on 571-272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phuong Phu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2631

Phung Phu

Phuong Phu 2/2/05